The Evolution of Samsung Electronics Company



Mannsoo SHIN

Professor Korea University Business School

Issues

- How could Samsung Electronics Co. (SEC) become successful in the competitive global IT industry?
 - Follower's position to leader's position (1992)
 - Leader's position to dominant position (1993-today)

• Strategies in technology, production, brand, marketing and management

• Implications to other firms

Samsung Electronics Co. (SEC)

- An annual sales of \$134 (109) billion with a profit of \$13.6 (\$7.6) billion in 2010 (2009), 8-13% of ROI
- Founded in 1969
- Exporter (OEM) of consumer appliance goods (1970s)
- Semiconductors (1983)
- Announcement of 'New Samsung Management' (1993)
- Global IT MNC today (four main businesses)
 - Digital Media & Home Appliances, 37%
- > Telecommunication, 23%
- > LCD/LEDs, 17%
- Semiconductors, 20%

Evolution of SEC

Stage	Key Events	Strategic Characteristics
Export Firm (1969-1982)	 -Established (1969) -Start B/W TV and other appliance products production (1970-76) -Start export (1971) 	-OEM export -Home appliance goods -Advanced countries -Expansion (volume and price) -Low end segments
International Firm (1983-1998)	 -Diversification into semiconductors (1983) -The first 64 Mega DRAM maker (1992) -Diversification into cellular phone (1994) 	 -Diversification (business, product) -Start own brand marketing -Technology leader
Global Firm (1999-2011)	 \$10 billion sales in semiconductor (2000) Global top 3 cellular phone maker (2003) \$ 50 billion export (2008) \$109 billion sales (2009) \$134 billion sales (2010) 	 -Market leader (digital convergence for new product development) - High end segments -Semiconductors, LCD/LED, IT (c phone), and Digital media

Fortune Global 500 in Electronics & Computers (Korea & Japan only)

Rank (2010)	Company	Sales 2010(2009)	Profit 2010 (2009)
22	Samsung E.	133.7(108.9)	13.6(7.6)
40	Hitachi Works	108.7(96.6)	2.7(-1.2)
50	Panasonic	101.4(79.9)	0.8(-1.1)
73	Sony	83.8(77.7)	-3.0(-0.4)
89	Toshiba	74.7(68.7)	1.6(-0.2)
158	Fujitsu	52.8(50.4)	0.6(1.0)
171	LG E.	48.2(78.9)	1.0(1.2)
203	Mitsubishi E.	42.5(36.1)	1.4(0.3)
204	Canon	42.2(34.3)	2.8(1.4)
241	NEC	36.3(38.6)	-0.1 (0.1)
253	Sharp	35.2(29.7)	0.2(0.0)
409	Sumitomo E.	23.7(19.8)	0.8(0.3)
429	Ricoh	22.6(21.7)	0.2(0.3)

Source: Fortune, July 26, 2011

Operating Profit Comparison between Samsung and Japanese Firms (July-September 2009)



-50

SEC vs. Sony (Economist, Nov. 17, 2009)

- Market orientation / Japanese consumers
- Japanese market oriented new product development
- Inflexible business practice (organizational culture)
- Japan number one symptom (no bench marking)
- Technology development vs. commercialization (production and marketing)
- Product adaptation(improvement) vs. new product development
- SEC (1969) vs. Sony (1946):
 - . Market Value (1989), Sales (2004), Brand Value & Credit Rating (2005), TV Sales (2006), Patent (2007)

A Brief Profile of SEC

(US \$ billion)

	2001	2005	2010
Financial Times World Top 500 Ranking (Market Value)	225th	46th	Market,51th Sales,31th (2009)
Interbrand Ranking	42th	20th	19th
BusinessWeek Most Innovative Co. Ranking	-	-	16th (26th, 2008)
Sales	25.9	57.4	133.7
Net Profit	3.7	7.0	13.6
R & D	3.4	5.4 (9.2%)	9.1
Marketing Expenses	-	1.9 (3.2%)	4.7 (2008)

Sales of SEC by Product Division (%)



Source: 2010 Company Annual Report

Sales of Sony by Product Division (2010, %)



Sales of SEC by Region (%)



Source: http://www.samsung.com/sec/aboutsamsung/ir/financialinfo/highlight/highligh_2006_1.html

Technology Strategy: A Critical Issue

- How had SEC acquired the technological capabilities so fast?
 - Semiconductors (1983-1992)
 - Various IT areas (1993-today)

- Technology learning process
- R & D strategy

Definitions

Technological Capabilities:

- The ability to assimilate, use, and change existing technologies
- Helps a firm to develop new technologies, products, and processes

Technological Learning:

- A dynamic process of acquiring technological capabilities
- A function of the firm's prior knowledge and intensity of effort

A Prior Knowledge

- Existing knowledge (tacit as well as explicit)
- Explicit knowledge
 - . Codified and transmittable in formal manuals
- Tacit knowledge
 - . Deeply rooted in the human mind and body that is hard to codify and communicate
 - . Can be acquired through imitation, practice, training

An Intensity of Effort

. Amount of time and energy devoted by the members in the firm to create knowledge

Technology Learning (until 1992)

- Technology follower
- CKD production and licensing for appliances in 1970s
- Aggressive tech. catch-up strategy in 1980s
 - Licensing of initial chip-making tech. from Micron Technologies (explicit knowledge)
 - Internal competition of tech. development (tacit knowledge)
 - both internal R & D and external licensing

Technology Learning (after 1993)

- Technology leader
- Expansion of internal R & D with Global alliances (Cross-licensing with Sony, Toshiba,...)
- Close working relations with suppliers
- M & A of US technology firms
- Diversification of tech. learning (US, Japan, Russian, European,...)
- Risk taking behavior in capital investment (Investment in LCD industry in 1995-1998)

R & D Strategy

- First-to-market strategy with many world firsts (102-inch plasma TV, cell phone with 7-mega pixel photos)
- Aggressive R & D spending (9.5% of revenue in 2008)
- R & D personnel (18% employees)
 In telecommunication division, 50%
- 17 global R & D centers (40,000)
- Chief Patent Officer

Samsung - Globalization in R&D



Results:

Samsung – 1st in World Market Share (2008)



Production Strategy

- Over 50% of Sales invested in 1980s. 39.8% (1987-92) of capital expenditure/revenue (20.5% industry Avg.)
- Continuous massive capital investment (\$23 billion in 2010, \$12 billion in 2008, funding source ?)
- Joint LCD factory with Sony
- Samsung's Low Inventory in Manufacturing (SLIM)
 SLIM allowed SEC to reduce DRAM manufacturing time from 80 days to 30 in 1990s
- Less production time in rapid price declining environment

Samsung - Globalization in Manufacturing

7 Regions 36 Locations



$Production \ Strategy ({\tt continued})$

- Flexible global production system
- Synchronizing R & D and Production (Parallel problem solving)
- Tight global sourcing system (3 day global production planning cycle since 2007)
- Internal sourcing

Results:

- A risk taking approach but
- Economies of scale with high quality
- Usually the first company to commercialize new products (90% MS of 3D TV in 2010, LED TV market leader in 2009, Galaxy S smart phone may be an exception)
- Reliable production and delivery

Brand Strategy

- Focusing on 'One Brand,' or 'Master Brand' (global branding strategy) since 1996
- Up to middle 1990s, low-end consumer electronics products with many less known brands (Wiseview, Tantus, Yepp...)
- Group Brand Management Committee
- Why new change?
 - iconic IT maker with upscale image, quality, design, and innovation (BMW)
- Communication, design, and global marketing

Global Communication Strategy

- Launched a global advertising campaign
 - 'The World Inspires Us' slogan in 28 languages in 1996
 - Continued spending in global advertising (\$1 bil in the late 1990s)
 - Top-notch mobile phones and digital TVs
- Increase 'awareness' as an initial goal
- Less traditional TV advertising but more link the Samsung name to music, and movies
 - Hollywood filmmakers (Matrix, The Fantastic Four)
- Closer relations with channels (Best Buy, Circuit City)



- Non mass media communication (sports marketing)
 - 2004 Athens Olympic, 2008 Beijing Olympic, 2010 World Cup Soccer (Wireless Com. equipment provider (14,000 mobile phone, the wireless internet system)
 - Samsung Running Festivals (Russia, China, Iran...)
 - Official sponsors of other popular sports (AFC Asian Cup 2007, LPGA Tour, Cricket, Tennis, Motor Race...)
 - Sponsorship of Chelsea Soccer team (since 2004-2013)
- Consequently brand value increased almost three times



Design Strategy

- A key success factor in IT consumer goods
- Design rather than function and performance
- Balance between standard and local designs
- Set up regional design centers (Tokyo, London, Frankfurt, LA, San Francisco, Shanghai)
- Internal competition (SEC and Samsung SDI)
- Integrate design function into a newly established
 'Samsung Electronics Design Center' in Korea
- Chief Design Officer, Design Committee under Chairman
- Multisensory approach (look, color, sound, feeling of quality to provide customers "function & lifestyle"

Global Marketing

- Mr. Eric Kim in charge of global marketing in 1999
- Simplify 55 advertising agencies
- Focus on high end channels (Best Buy, Circuit City over WalMart, Target)
- Focus on flagship products (flat-screen TV or cell phones)
- High end positioning in home appliances, telecommunication, digital media and semiconductors with the "*Samsung DigitAll, everyone's invited*" (2000-2004), "*Imagine*" (2005-) slogan
- Tight channel control (rapid and simultaneous market expansion in target countries)
- Focus, build, and innovate

Product Innovation (Improving product portfolio)

- One of the leaders in the digital-convergence revolution
- World leaders in semiconductors, mobile communication, and information appliances (diversification)
- DRAM (28.0%) -> Flash Memory (40.0%) -> TFT LCD (23.1%) ...

A New Technology Product

- World's 1st 40nm 32Gb Nand Flash
- Next generation semiconductor solutions
 - Smaller Form-Factor, Bigger Capacity, Higher Performance



Globalization Strategy

- Mr. Lee, Kun Hee's initiative in 1995
- Five regional divisions (China, Europe, Asia, Japan, and the Americas):
- Strong push for localization
 - Regional production complexes (mini Samsung)
 - HRM (bachelor's dispatch program, and Korean MBA program)

- China:
 - Tianjin complex (SEC, SDI, and S. Corning to make TV, monitors and VCR)
 - Suzhou complex (Ref, W/M, A/C, and semiconductors)
- Europe:
 - Brentford, UK, Germany, Portugal, Spain, Hungary...
 - Wynyard complex (monitors, microwave ovens, training center)
 - Samsung Hungary since 1989 at Jaszfenyszaru, near Badapest
- Asia:
 - Regional head office in Singapore and Seremban complex
 - Procurement office in Singapore
- Japan
- The Americas
 - Semiconductor plant in Austin, TX and Tijuana complex, Mexico
 - Manaus complex, Brazil (Monitor, microwave oven)

Global Brand Value

(2001-2010 in US\$ millions)



Source: Interbrand

Major Success Factors

- Leadership (chaos makers)
- A sense of crisis
- On-going improvement with market focused innovation
- Quick decision making
- Gradual and phased implementation
- Consistent effort for innovation
- R & D and Brand (intensive, integrative and compressed strategy)
- Culture of Samsung
 - An eclectic type due to continuous learning and benchmarking

Culture & Management Style of SEC



Managerial Implications

Overall Strategy

- Focus strategy during the initial entry stage as a late comer
- Low margin or even loss during the initial stage
- Volume building (?)
- Benchmarking of competitors
- Consistency in implementation of the strategy

Technology Strategy

- Multiple modes of technology transfer . Formal mechanism: Licensing
 - . Informal mechanism: Literature, observation tours, sample products, R & D personnel transfer
- Formal licenses may transfer only explicit knowledge, but it is the internal R & D that enables a firm to assimilate transferred knowledge
- A leapfrog is a result of continuous efforts in creatively combining tacit and explicit knowledge
- Crisis creation to intensify R & D efforts in catching-up
- Top management commitment is critical

Other Strategic or Management Characteristics

- Empowerment from Owner (Mr. Lee, Kun-Hee)
- Fast and early consensus-based decision making (Group President Committee): Early investment in new business(factory)
- Change decisions frequently if necessary (adaptability)
- Strong manpower base (3,600 Ph.Ds)
- Traits of Samsung employees



Updates on SEC

- Mr. Yoon, JongYong (CEO of SEC from 1996 to2008), named the 2nd high performing CEO by Harvard Business Review (2010)
- Market Capitalization Change : \$127 billion



- Mr. Yoon's view: Market Environmental Change:
 - . From Analogue to Digital in 2000
 - . Sources of Competitive Advantage & Value Added Activities

Differing Success Requirements

	Analog (before 2000) Period	Digital (after 2000) Period
Sources of Competitive Advantage	Technology capabilitiesExperienceIntensity of efforts	-New product development -Creativity -Speed
Production type	-Individual part assembly (3,000 parts for a TV)	-System on chips
High Value Added Activities	-Sourcing -Production	-Marketing -Brand power