The Korean Economy Ch.3 Korea's Industrial Development



Korea University Prof. Mannsoo Shin



Korea's Industrial Development

• Characteristics ?

Korea's Industrial Development

- Characteristics
 - HCI-based manufacturing (finished goods-> ???)
 - Government-led policies (factor supply, infrastructure,...) → ???
 - Large firms (*Chaebuls*)
 - Step-by-step but compressed
 - Agriculture -> light mfg -> ??? -> ???
 - Initially import-substitution, followed by all-out export promotion

I . Introduction



Deep changes in industrial structure



Q) How to develop new growth engines?



Strategic Trade Policy

Governmental role and influence in factor condition

•Alter conditions for industries in general

- -change conditions that affect factor proportions, efficiency, and innovation
- •Target conditions for a specific industry –Pros and cons

»hard to identify and target appropriate industries
»too many countries identify the same industry, leading to excessive competition

Global Competitive Advantage: The Porter Diamond



The Porter Diamond

Indicates four important conditions for competitive superiority

•Demand conditions—observation of need or demand

-usually in home country

-production started near the observed market

- •Factor conditions— availability and terms for acquiring them
- •Related and supporting industries—existence of infrastructure
- •Firm strategy, structure, and rivalry
 - -influenced by other three conditions

Existence of the four favorable conditions Absence of one of the four conditions

Rapid changes in industrial structure

Annual output growth by sector

	J				(01111.70)	
	1953-1960	1960-1970	1970-1980	1980-1990	1990-2000	2000-2009
Agriculture, forestry and fishing	2.3	4.4	1.6	3.5	1.9	1.8
Mining and manufacturing	12.1	15.7	14.1	11.4	8.2	5.3
Mining			4.7	-0.2	-1.3	-0.3
Manufacturing	12.7	16.8	15.8	12.2	8.4	5.4
Light industries			12.7	7.0	1,1	-0.6
Heavy and chemical industries			17.2	14.4	9.8	6.6
Public utilities and construction	9.3	19.2	10.3	10.3	2.7	3.3
Public utilities			15.8	17.6	10.3	5.8
Construction			10.1	9.7	1.4	2.6
Services	3.8	8.6	6.8	8.4	6.1	3.6
Gross Domestic Product	3.8	8.4	9.0	9.7	6.5	3.9

• Output grew annually by 17% in 1960s, 16% in 1970s



(Unit-%)

Rapid changes in industrial structure

Share in gross value-added by sector Share in total employment by sector



■ Manufacturing : 12% (1953-1960) → 23% (1971-1980) Manufacturing employment increased rapidly



Rapid changes in industrial structure

Share in exports by sector



- In 1970, primary industries (17%), light industries(70%), HCIs (13%)
- In 2008, primary industries (2%), light industries(6%), HCIs (92%)



Figure 3-3. Share in manufacturing value-added by subsector



Source: Bank of Korea (http://ecos.bok.or.kr).

Table 3-3. Share of the top 10 export items in total exports

(Unit: %)

Rank	1961		1970		1980	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Iron ore Tungsten Raw yarn Coal Cuttlefish Live fish Graphite Plywood Rice	13.0 2.6 6.7 5.8 5.6 4.5 4.2 3.3 3.3	Textile Plywood Wigs Iron ore Electronic goods Confectionery Footwear Tobaccos Iron products	40.8 11.0 10.8 5.9 3.5 2.3 2.1 1.6 1.5	Garments Steel plate-rolled products Footwear Ships Audio equipment Man-made filament fabrics Rubber products Woods and wood items Video equipment	16.0 5.4 5.2 3.6 3.4 3.2 2.9 2.8 2.6
10	Swine bristle	3.0	Metal products	1,5	Semiconductors	2.5
Sum		62.0		81,1		47.6

Rank	: 1990		2000		2008	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Garments Semiconductors Footwear Video equipment Ships Computers Audio equipment Steel plate-rolled products Man-made filament fabrics Automobiles	11.7 7.0 6.6 5.6 4.4 3.9 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.6 3.0	Semiconductors Computers Automobiles Petroleum products Ships Mobile phone equipment Synthetic resin Steel plate-rolled products Garments Video equipment	15.1 8.5 7.7 5.3 4.9 4.6 2.9 2.8 2.7 2.1	Ships and ship components Petroleum products Mobile phone equipment Automobiles Semiconductors Flat display screens Steel plate-rolled products Synthetic resin Automobile parts Computers	10.2 8.9 8.5 8.3 7.8 4.4 3.8 3.5 3.3 2.5
Sum		53.4		56,6		61.3

Source: Institute for International Trade (http://www.kita.net).

Table 3-4. Distribution of employment by sector

(Unit: %)

Country	Year	Agriculture	Industry	Services
	1700	60.0	15.0	25.0
U.K.	1820	40.0	30.0	30.0
	1890	16.0	44.0	40.0
	1880	51.9	25.9	22.2
	1900	43.0	30.0	27.0
U.S.	1920	30.9	38.7	30.4
	1940	25.5	37.4	37.1
	1950	17.7	43.0	39.3
	1880	80.9	6.5	12.6
	1900	68.5	13.5	18.0
Japan	1920	54.4	20.5	25.1
	1940	44.3	26.9	28.8
т А.	1948	56.0	21.3	22.7
	1963	63.1	11.2	25.6
	1970	50.4	17.2	32.3
	1980	34.0	28.7	37.3
Vanaa	1990	17.9	35.0	47.1
Korea	1996	11.7	32.1	56.2
	1997	11.3	30.9	57.8
	1998	12.4	27.5	60.1
	1999	11.6	27.1	61.3

Rapid changes in industrial structure

Periods of industrialization



- Similar patterns of structural change as other countries
- Korea achieved "compressed" growth in the last few decades as in Taiwan and other East Asian countries



Capital accumulation and productivity growth



- 30~40% investment rate (mid 1970s present)
- Peak in 1991 at 40%
- Most of East Asia's economic growth stemmed from factor accumulation (Krugman 1994)



Capital accumulation and productivity growth

Sources of growth in major regions (1961-2004)

(Unit: %)

	CDP growth	Per worker GDP	Contribution from			
	GDP glowin	growth	K/L	TFP		
World (83)	4.0	2.4	1,2	1.3		
Industrial countries (22)	3.3	2,1	1,1	1.1		
China	7.2	5.4	2,1	3.4		
Korea 1961-1970 1971-1980 1981-1990 1991-2000 2001-2004	7.1 7.7 7.3 8.6 5.8 4.5	4.7 4.7 4.6 6.1 4.1 2.9	2.9 3.0 3.8 2.8 2.7 1.3	$ 1.8 \\ 1.6 \\ 0.8 \\ 3.4 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.5 $		
East Asia (5)	5.7	2,8	1,8	1.0		
Latin America (22)	3.7	1.0	0.6	0.4		
South Asia (4)	4.9	3.0	1,1	1.8		
Sub-Saharan Africa (19)	3.4	1.0	0.6	0.3		
Middle East and North Africa (9)	4.4	2.0	1,2	0.9		

- TFP growth explains 38% of the per worker GDP growth in Korea
- Technological process (physical capital-using → less capital-using →intangible capital using)
- Q) Where did efficiency improvement come from?



Capital accumulation and productivity growth

- Technological progress
 - domestic R&D activities
 - imports of capital goods
 - acquisition of foreign technologies
 - knowledge transfer through FDIs
 - international trade
 - \rightarrow All of these factors, except FDIs, played a pivotal role in Korea

R&D expenditure





Π . Structural changes in the Korean economy

Capital accumulation and productivity growth

Technological progress

Imports by commodity group

(Unit: billion dollars, %)

	1970	1980	1990	2000	2009
Total imports ¹⁾	2.0	22.3	69.5	160.5	323.1
(% of total imports)	(100.0)	(100.0)	(100.0)	(100.0)	(100.0)
Materials	1.0	14.5	38.2	81.6	186.1
(% of total imports)	(52,9)	(65.0)	(54.9)	(50.8)	(57.6)
Capital goods (% of total imports) Capital goods for domestic use (% of total imports) (% of GDP) (% of gross fixed capital formation) (% of facilities investment)	0,5 (23,1)	5.1 (23.0)	25.6 (36.8)	64.6 (40.2) 37.2 (23.2) (7.0) (23.2) (56.7)	104.0 (32.2) 59.1 (18.3) (7.1) (24.2) (77.8)
Consumption goods	0.5	2.7	5.7	14.0	32.7
(% of total imports)	(24.0)	(12.1)	(8.2)	(8.7)	(10.1)



Capital accumulation and productivity growth

Resource allocation

- less productive → more productive sectors
 - ex) the migration of labor and capital
 - (agriculture to manufacturing, light industries to HCIs, rural to urban areas)
- Sectoral mobility declined as the supply of young workers from rural areas fell off

Urbanization trend





Capital accumulation and productivity growth

- Resource allocation
 - Korean government impeded the market-based resource reallocation
 - directed credits, the promotion of HCIs, repeated bailouts of insolvent firms, the protection of SMEs
 - The long period of financial repression
 - International trade
 - High profitability in high-productivity sectors

Comparative advantage (factor endowments \rightarrow economies of scale)

- International trade
 - create knowledge spillovers
 - access the global market
 - provide new opportunity



Capital accumulation and productivity growth

Challenges

The government aims at promoting productivity growth continuously

impediments

Needs to import and deploy foreign technologies

- Quality of education
- Productivity of the service sector and SMEs
- Wholesale and retail trade
- However, many professional services do have room for improvement
- SMEs refocus the policy on complementing



Capital accumulation and productivity growth

Labor productivity of the service sector (1963-2008)



Employment share in the service sector





III. Historical development of Korean industry

Responding to new challenges in the 1990s

- Technology development
 - various national R&D projects
 - Focus on the information and communication technology(ICT) sector
 - -New development vision for Korean HCIs
 - new materials and bio-industry sectors
 - → structural changes in Korean industry, creation of an information-based society
 - Samsung Electronics

Growth contribution by ICT industries

							(Unit: %)
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
GDP Growth	-6.9	9.5	8.5	3.8	7.0	3.1	4.6
ICT Growth	23.0	35.3	33.8	10.5	17.6	14.2	20.4
Contribution (percentage points)	1.1	2,2	2.3	1.0	1.8	1.6	2,5

Great increase of R&D investments and private-sector R&D spending



III. Historical development of Korean industry

In search of new growth engines in the 2000s

- 1) How to deal with restructuring between industries to handle changes from market opening and technology advancement?
- 2) How to develop future growth engines?

Focus on advanced technology industries

- Create leading-edge engines
- Nurturing the future development of existing industries

"Green growth" economic strategy for the next 60 years

- next-generation growth engine businesses
- higher environmental standards



IV. Conclusion

Conclusion

- In the last 60 years, Korea achieved a phenomenal economic growth through a rapid industrialization process
- •Korea's industrialization process depended critically on the dynamism of the private sector

Many tasks lie ahead to sustain its growth and adapt to changing environments

- •to encourage innovation through regulatory reform and external liberalization
- to strengthen the competitiveness of industry
- to identify future growth industries in a open market environment





Q&A

