

Lynn Choi Korea University



Computer System Laboratory

Flooding

🗉 Idea

- Each node broadcasts a packet if the maximum hop-count of the packet is not reached and the node itself is not the destination
- Does not require topology maintenance or route discovery

Disadvantages

- Implosion
 - A node receives copies of the same message
- Overlap
 - The same event may be sensed by more than one node due to overlapping regions of coverage
- Resource blindness
 - The protocol does not consider the available energy at the nodes, reducing the network lifetime

Implosion



🗆 Data overlap





Gossiping

A modified version of flooding

- Instead of broadcasting, send it to a randomly selected neighbor
- Plus
 - Avoid the problem of implosion
 - Lower overhead, less traffic
- Minus
 - Longer delay
 - Does not guarantee the delivery





Directed Diffusion

Data centric

- Data is named by 'attribute-value' pairs (rather than node address)
- A node requests data by sending interests for named data
 - "Detect vehicle location in [100,100] and send me events every 20ms."

Data diffusion procedure

- Interest propagation
 - Sinks broadcast interest to neighbors (request-driven)
 - Gradients are set up pointing back to where interests came from
 - Interests and data are cached by intermediate nodes
- Data propagation
 - Once a source receives an interest, it routes measurements along gradients
- Reinforcement
 - After sink starts receiving events, it enforces a particular (low-delay) path to receive high-quality data
 - Gradients from Source (S) to Sink (N) are initially small but increase during reinforcement
- Supports multiple sources and multiple sinks



Directed Diffusion





TTDD (Two-Tier Data Dissemination Protocol)

Mobile sinks bring new challenges

- The location of a mobile sink needs to be continuously propagated throughout the sensor field
- Frequent location updates from multiple mobile sinks lead to
 - Excessive energy drains of sensor nodes
 - Increased collisions

Two-tier forwarding model

- ► Assumption
 - Sensor nodes are stationary and location-aware (GPS-enabled)
- Each data source proactively builds a grid structure
- Each source forwards its data to a set of sensor nodes called *disseminating nodes* (at grid points)
- This enables each mobile sink to receive data by flooding in each local cell only
 - Localize impact of sink mobility on data forwarding



TTDD (Two-Tier Data Dissemination Protocol)



Computer System Laboratory



TTDD (Two-Tier Data Dissemination Protocol)



Computer System Laboratory





Computer System Laboratory



Existing Solutions

- Several schemes have been proposed to target mobile sinks specifically
 - ► TTDD (Two-Tier Data Dissemination) : UCLA, Mobicom '02
 - SEAD (Scalable Energy-efficient Asynchronous Dissemination): SNU, SenSys '03
 - ► HLETDR (Hybrid Learning-Enforced Time Domain Routing): USC, LCN'04

However, most of them suffer from the following limitations

- Local flooding (TTDD) guided by geographical grids pre-maintained
- Global flooding (HLETDR,DD) based on interest propagation and reinforcement
- Assumption of location awareness: SEAD, TTDD
 - However, GPS receivers are too expensive and do not work indoors
 - Existing localization techniques using recursive trilateration/multilateration techniques are not accurate enough

No practical routing solutions so far



VSR (Virtual Sink Rotation)

Sensor network model

- Stationary sensor nodes and mobile sinks
- Homogeneous short-range radios
- GPS-free sensor nodes

Target environment

- Battlefield
- Habitat exploration
- ► Robots (in home/space), ...
- overhead





Virtual Sink: a sensor node acting as a real sink

- Functions
 - Builds a spanning tree (VS tree) in lieu of the actual sink
 - VS tree is constructed at the initial deployment and can be repaired or reconstructed from time to time due to node failures, excessive energy drains
 - Data collection center: collect all messages/events from sources
 - Can aggregate if necessary
 - Data dissemination center: forward messages/events to sinks
 - Need to maintain *virtual path* from the virtual sink to real sinks
- Advantages: all the path information is already embedded in the VS tree
 - Can easily support multiple sinks
 - Can easily support mobility

Virtual Sink Rotation

- Rotate the role of virtual sink among all candidate sensor nodes
 - Because a virtual sink and its neighbors consume more energy than leaf nodes
- Evenly distribute the energy consumption among all nodes
 - Can increase the lifetime of the sensor network



Virtual Sink Rotation Routing





Virtual Sink Rotation Routing





VSR - NS2 Simulation

Simulation Environment

- Network size: 2000m x 2000m (for 400 nodes)
- ▶ Number of nodes : 100 ~ 800 nodes
- MAC layer : 802.11
 - Modified to model sensor network energy model
 - Tx : 0.66w
 - Rx : 0.395w
 - Idle : 0.035w
- Simulation time 100 sec
- Each event is modeled as a 64B packet

Impacts of

- Number of sources
- Number of sinks
- Sink mobility
- Node failures, scalability and density, rotation policy

Performance Metrics

• Energy consumption, delay, success rate

VSR – Stationary Sinks: Different # Sinks



Computer System Laboratory



VSR – Stationary Sinks: Different # Sources



Computer System Laboratory



VSR – Mobile Sinks



Computer System Laboratory

Node Failures



Computer System Laboratory

Node Density



Computer System Laboratory

Scaling the Sensor Field





VSR – Rotation Policy



Computer System Laboratory

Conclusion & Future Work



- Virtual sink
 - Can avoid local/global forwarding for the location update by pre-constructing the VS tree around a virtual sink
 - Each node is not required to know the global network topology nor its position
- Virtual sink rotation
 - Global distribution of energy as compared to local energy optimization
- May be a viable solution for large-scale sensor networks with low-cost sensor nodes and mobile sinks
- ► Impact of aggregation, caching, and multicasting on the VSR framework
- Implemented on existing sensor boards such as MICA2 Motes