**Operating System** 

# **Chapter 9. Uniprocessor Scheduling**

### Lynn Choi School of Electrical Engineering



**Computer System Laboratory** 



### Scheduling

- Assign system resource (CPU time, IO device, etc.) to processes/threads to meet system objectives, such as response time, turnaround time, throughput, or fairness
  - In practice, these goals often conflict

#### Three types of scheduling

- Long-term scheduling (admission scheduler)
  - Decide which jobs/processes to be admitted to the ready queue
  - Admission to the set of currently executing processes
- Mid-term scheduling (swapper)
  - Remove processes from main memory and place them on secondary memory, or vice versa
  - Swap in/out processes
- Short-term scheduling (CPU scheduler or dispatcher)
  - Decide which of the ready, in-memory processes to be executed by the processor following a clock interrupt, IO interrupt, or OS call
  - Execute most frequently

### Scheduling and Process State Transitions





#### Figure 9.1 Scheduling and Process State Transitions

### **Nesting of Scheduling Functions**





**Computer System Laboratory** 

nHI

髙麗大學校

## **Queuing Diagram**









### **Long-Term Scheduler**



# Determines which programs are admitted to the system for processing

Once admitted a user program becomes a process

### Controls the degree of multiprogramming

- The more processes that are created, the smaller the percentage of time that each process can be executed
  - May limit the degree of multiprogramming to provide satisfactory service to the current set of processes
  - Or, may increase the degree of multiprogramming if CPU is idle too long

### Which jobs to admit next can be

- First come, first served (FCFS), or
- Priority, expected execution time, I/O requirements

### For interactive programs in a time-sharing system

OS will accept all authorized comers until the system is saturated

## **Short-Term Scheduling Criteria**



# The main objective of short-term scheduling is to allocate processor time to optimize system behaviour

### □ Can be categorized into two dimensions

- User-oriented criteria
  - Relate to the behaviour of the system as perceived by the individual user or process (such as response time in an interactive system)
  - Important on virtually all systems
- System-oriented criteria
  - Focus on efficient utilization of the processor such as throughput
  - Generally of minor importance on single-user systems
- Performance-related criteria
  - Quantitative and can be measured
  - Example: response time, throughput
- Not performance-related criteria
  - Qualitative and hard to measure
  - Example: predictability

### Scheduling Criteria



#### User Oriented, Performance Related

**Turnaround time** This is the interval of time between the submission of a process and its completion. Includes actual execution time plus time spent waiting for resources, including the processor. This is an appropriate measure for a batch job.

**Response time** For an interactive process, this is the time from the submission of a request until the response begins to be received. Often a process can begin producing some output to the user while continuing to process the request. Thus, this is a better measure than turnaround time from the user's point of view. The scheduling discipline should attempt to achieve low response time and to maximize the number of interactive users receiving acceptable response time.

**Deadlines** When process completion deadlines can be specified, the scheduling discipline should subordinate other goals to that of maximizing the percentage of deadlines met.

#### User Oriented, Other

**Predictability** A given job should run in about the same amount of time and at about the same cost regardless of the load on the system. A wide variation in response time or turnaround time is distracting to users. It may signal a wide swing in system workloads or the need for system tuning to cure instabilities.

#### System Oriented, Performance Related

**Throughput** The scheduling policy should attempt to maximize the number of processes completed per unit of time. This is a measure of how much work is being performed. This clearly depends on the average length of a process but is also influenced by the scheduling policy, which may affect utilization.

**Processor utilization** This is the percentage of time that the processor is busy. For an expensive shared system, this is a significant criterion. In single-user systems and in some other systems, such as real-time systems, this criterion is less important than some of the others.

#### System Oriented, Other

**Fairness** In the absence of guidance from the user or other system-supplied guidance, processes should be treated the same, and no process should suffer starvation.

**Enforcing priorities** When processes are assigned priorities, the scheduling policy should favor higher-priority processes.

## **Priority Queuing**





髙麗大學校

Figure 9.4 Priority Queuing

Source: Pearson

**Computer System Laboratory** 

## **Alternative Scheduling Policies**



#### Table 9.3 Characteristics of Various Scheduling Policies

	FCFS	Round robin	SPN	SRT	HRRN	Feedback
Selection function	max[w]	constant	min[s]	min[s – e]	$\max\left(\frac{w+s}{s}\right)$	(see text)
Decision mode	Non- preemptive	Preemptive (at time quantum)	Non- preemptive	Preemptive (at arrival)	Non- preemptive	Preemptive (at time quantum)
Throughput	Not emphasized	May be low if quantum is too small	High	High	High	Not emphasized
Response time	May be high, especially if there is a large variance in process execution times	Provides good response time for short processes	Provides good response time for short processes	Provides good response time	Provides good response time	Not emphasized
Overhead	Minimum	Minimum	Can be high	Can be high	Can be high	Can be high
Effect on processes	Penalizes short processes; penalizes I/O bound processes	Fair treatment	Penalizes long processes	Penalizes long processes	Good balance	May favor I/O bound processes
Starvation	No	No	Possible	Possible	No	Possible



- Determines which process, among ready processes, is selected next for execution
- May be based on priority, resource requirements, or the execution characteristics of the process
- □ If based on execution characteristics then important quantities are
  - $\rightarrow$  **w** = time spent in system so far, waiting
  - e = time spent in execution so far
  - s = total service time required by the process, including e; generally, this quantity must be estimated or supplied by the user

### **Decision Mode**

# Specifies the instants in time at which the selection function is exercised

### Two categories

- Nonpreemptive
  - Once a process is in the running state, it will continue until it terminates or blocks itself for I/O
- Preemptive
  - Currently running process may be interrupted and moved to ready state by the OS
  - Preemption may occur when new process arrives, on an interrupt that places a blocked process in the Ready queue, or periodically based on a clock interrupt



#### **Table 9.4 Process Scheduling Example**

Process	Arrival Time	Service Time
А	0	3
В	2	6
С	4	4
D	6	5
Е	8	2

### **Comparison of Scheduling Policies**

Process	А	В	С	D	E	
Arrival Time	0	2	4	6	8	
Service Time $(T_s)$	3	6	4	5	2	Mean
		F	CFS			
Finish Time	3	9	13	18	20	
Turnaround Time $(T_r)$	3	7	9	12	12	8.60
$T_r/T_s$	1.00	1.17	2.25	2.40	6.00	2.56
		RR	q = 1	•	•	
Finish Time	4	18	17	20	15	
Turnaround Time $(T_r)$	4	16	13	14	7	10.80
$T_r/T_s$	1.33	2.67	3.25	2.80	3.50	2.71
		RR	q = 4			
Finish Time	3	17	11	20	19	
Turnaround Time $(T_r)$	3	15	7	14	11	10.00
$T_r/T_s$	1.00	2.5	1.75	2.80	5.50	2.71
		SI	PN			
Finish Time	3	9	15	20	11	
Turnaround Time $(T_r)$	3	7	11	14	3	7.60
$T_r/T_s$	1.00	1.17	2.75	2.80	1.50	1.84
		SI	RT			
Finish Time	3	15	8	20	10	
Turnaround Time $(T_r)$	3	13	4	14	2	7.20
$T_r/T_s$	1.00	2.17	1.00	2.80	1.00	1.59
HRRN						
Finish Time	3	9	13	20	15	
Turnaround Time $(T_r)$	3	7	9	14	7	8.00
$T_r/T_s$	1.00	1.17	2.25	2.80	3.5	2.14
<b>FB</b> $q = 1$						
Finish Time	4	20	16	19	11	
Turnaround Time $(T_r)$	4	18	12	13	3	10.00
$T_r/T_s$	1.33	3.00	3.00	2.60	1.5	2.29
	FB q = 2i					
Finish Time	4	17	18	20	14	

Source: Pearson

髙麓大學校

**Computer System Laboratory** 

### **First-Come First-Served (FCFS)**

- Simplest scheduling policy
- Also known as first-in-first-out (FIFO)
- When the current process ceases to execute, the oldest process in the Ready queue is selected
- Performs much better for long processes than short ones
  - Whenever a short process arrives just after a long one, it waits too long

### Tends to favor CPU-bound processes over IO-bound ones

> When a CPU-bound process is running, all the IO-bound processes must wait

May result in inefficient use of both CPU and IO devices





### **Round Robin**



- Uses preemption based on a clock
- □ Also known as *time slicing* because each process is given a slice of time before being preempted
- Principal design issue is the length of the time quantum, or slice, to be used

Time quantum should be slightly longer than a typical interaction

- Particularly effective in a general-purpose timesharing system or transaction processing system
- One drawback: CPU-bound processes receive a complete quantum while IO-bound ones may not

Round-Robin
A
B
Image: Description of the second se

### Effect of Preemption Time Quantum Size



#### (a) Time quantum greater than typical interaction

## Effect of Preemption Time Quantum Size



(b) Time quantum less than typical interaction

#### Figure 9.6 Effect of Size of Preemption Time Quantum

## Virtual Round Robin (VRR)



- Avoid the unfairness of **IO-bound processes**
- When an IO-bound process is released from IO block, it is moved to the auxiliary queue
- Processes in the auxiliary queue get preference over those in the ready queue
- A process dispatched from the auxiliary queue runs no longer than a



time quantum minus the Figure 9.7 Queuing Diagram for Virtual Round-Robin Scheduler

time spent running 髙麗大學校

Source: Pearson

**Computer System Laboratory** 

### **Shortest Process Next (SPN)**



- Also called SJF (Shortest Job First)
- □ Nonpreemptive policy in which the process with the shortest expected processing time is selected next
- □ A short process will jump to the head of the queue
- Possibility of starvation for longer processes
- One difficulty is the need to know, or at least estimate, the required processing time of each process
- □ If the programmer's estimate is substantially under the actual running time, the system may abort the job



**Computer System Laboratory** 

## **Exponential Smoothing Coefficients**



Figure 9.8 Exponential Smoothing Coefficients

### **Use Of Exponential Averaging**



髙麗大學校

**Computer System Laboratory** 

### **Use Of Exponential Averaging**



髙麗大學校

**Computer System Laboratory** 

## **Shortest Remaining Time (SRT)**



### **Preemptive version of SPN**

- □ Scheduler always chooses the process that has the shortest expected remaining time
  - The scheduler may preempt the current process when a new process with a short expected processing time becomes ready
- Risk of starvation for longer processes
- Should give superior turnaround time performance to SPN because a short job is given immediate preference to a running longer job



## Highest Response Ratio Next (HRRN)

- When the current process completes or is blocked (non-preemptive policy), choose the next process with the greatest ratio (normalized turnaround time)
- □ Attractive because it considers the aging of a process
- While shorter jobs are favored, aging without service increases the ratio so that a long process will eventually win the competition against shorter jobs



髙麗大學校

### **Feedback Scheduling**

### Also known as multilevel feedback queue

- Penalize jobs that have been running longer by placing these jobs into lower priority queues
- When a process enters the system, it is placed in RQ0. After its first preemption, it is demoted to RQ1.
- Short processes will complete quickly while long processes may starve
- To avoid starvation and long turnaround time, RQi may be assigned 2<sup>i</sup> <sup>高麗大学</sup>time units



Figure 9.10 Feedback Scheduling

Source: Pearson

**Computer System Laboratory** 

### **Feedback Performance**



Source: Pearson

nnu unu



# Scheduling decisions are made based on sets of processes rather than each individual process

- > An individual application may be organized as multiple processes/threads
- From the user perspective, the concern is not how a particular process performs but rather how his/her application (set of processes) performs
- Each user is assigned a share of the processor
- Objective is to monitor usage to give fewer resources to users who have had more than their fair share and more to those who have had less than their fair share
- Scheduling is done on the basis of priority, which considers the process priority, its recent processor usage, and the recent processor usage of the group it belongs.

### **Fair-Share Scheduler**



- $\Box \quad CPU_{j}(i) = CPU_{j}(i-1)/2$ 
  - CPU utilization by process j during interval i
- $\Box \quad \text{GCPU}_k(i) = \text{GCPU}_k(i-1)/2$ 
  - CPU utilization of group k during interval i
- $\square P_j(i) = Base_i + CPU_j(i)/2 + GCPU_k(i)/(4 * W_k)$ 
  - Base<sub>i</sub> : base priority of process j
  - W<sub>k</sub> : weight assigned to group k



Source: Pearson

Colored rectangle represents executing process

ratory

## **Traditional Unix Scheduling**



#### Used in both SVR3 and 4.3 BSD UNIX

These systems are primarily targeted at the time-sharing interactive environment

### Designed to provide good response time for interactive users while ensuring that low-priority background jobs do not starve

- Employs multilevel feedback queue using round robin within each of the priority queues
- If a running process does not block or complete within one second, it is preempted.
- Priority is based on process type and execution history

### **Scheduling Formula**



$$CPU_{j}(i) = \frac{CPU_{j}(i-1)}{2}$$
$$P_{j}(i) = Base_{j} + \frac{CPU_{j}(i)}{2} + nice_{j}$$

where

 $CPU_j(i)$  = measure of processor utilization by process *j* through interval *i*  $P_j(i)$  = priority of process *j* at beginning of interval *i*; lower values equal higher priorities

$$Base_j = base priority of process j$$

nice<sub>j</sub> = user-controllable adjustment factor

### Examples of Traditional UNIX Process Scheduling



	Process A		Proc	Process B		Process C	
Time	Priority	CPU count	Priority	CPU count	Priority	CPU count	
0	60	0 1 2 • •	60	0	60	0	
2	75	30	60	0 1 2 • • 60	60	0	
2	67	15	75	30	60	0 1 2 • 60	
4	63	7 8 9 • 67	67	15	75	30	
+	76	33	63	7 8 9 • 67	67	15	
2	68	16	76	33	63	7	

Colored rectangle represents executing process

Source: Pearson

#### Figure 9.17 Example of Traditional UNIX Process Scheduling



**Exercise 9.1** 

**Homework 8** 

- **Exercise 9.3**
- **Exercise 9.5**
- **Exercise 9.8**
- **Exercise 9.13**

